



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Harold F. Ross	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/686,017		10/15/2003		071402-0115	4874		
	26371	7590	03/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	FOLEY &	LARDNE	ER		TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E			
	777 EAST \	VISCONS	IN AVENUE				_	
	SUITE 3800)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MIL WALKEE WI 53202-5308					3744			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)								
		10/686,01	7	ROSS, HAROLD	F.	6>						
Οπιο	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit								
		William E.	•	3744								
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).												
Status												
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>17 February 200</u>	<u>5</u> .									
2a)⊠ This action	on is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.									
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.											
Disposition of Cla	aims											
4a) Of the 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7) □ Claim(s)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-17 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 7,10-13 and 23 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,14-17,21 and 22 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 											
Application Pape	rs											
9)☐ The spec	ification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			•							
10)∐ The draw	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.											
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
<u>-</u>	nent drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to by											
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119											
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.												
Attachment(s)												
1) Notice of Refere		. 40	4) Interview Summary									
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC I Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/686,017

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 4-6, 8, 9, 14-17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitation of the machine including an ice cream input and an inclusions input is not clearly shown or described. The drawings, especially Figs. 3-9, are of such a poor quality that it is difficult to understand the specification and claims.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Hunt. Levine discloses the claimed invention except for the speed control allowing the motor to be ramped up. Hunt teaches a blender which has a continuously variable speed control for the motor. See especially column 2, lines 35-40. Thus, the speed control of Hunt inherently ramps up the speed of the motor. It would be

Application/Control Number: 10/686,017

Art Unit: 3744

obvious to modify Levine so that the speed control ramps up the speed of the motor, in view of Hunt, for the purpose of providing more precise control of the motor.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 4-6, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Patent No. 3,928,646 assigned to Cannell Auto-Process

 Corporation, hereafter referred to as Cannell. Levine discloses the claimed invention except for the separate inlets for the ice cream and inclusions. Cannell teaches a mixer having separate inlets 20 for the main ingredient and 11 for the inclusions. It would be obvious to provide Levine with separate inlets for the ice cream mix and inclusions, in view of Cannell, for the purpose of providing distinct inlets for the different ingredients.
- 6. Claims 8, 15-17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Cannell as applied to claim 4 or 14 above, and further in view of Hunt as applied to claim 1 above.
- 7. Claims 7, 10-13 and 23 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8, 9, 14-17, 21, and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3744

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744 Application/Control Number: 10/686,017

Art Unit: 3744

March 8, 2005

Page 5